UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Jerrold Marvin Lafitte	Case No. 1:11-cr-00222-JTN
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Ba hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	il Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part	l – Findings of Fact
	ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of nse that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum senten	ce is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison te	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant ha U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:
a minor victim the possession or use of a fire a failure to register under 18 U	earm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon J.S.C. § 2250
_	ted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since offense described in finding (1).	the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable p person or the community. I further find that defe	resumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another endant has not rebutted that presumption.
Alter	native Findings (A)
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	ndant has committed an offense
 ✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten you Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 80 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). 	
	n established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nce and the safety of the community.
Alter	native Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	t appear.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	danger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statemer	nt of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	at the detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not t Defendant has not rebutted the presumption of detention 	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 29, 2011	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	